

	EORTC QLQ	FACIT
Website	https://qol.eortc.org/	http://www.facit.org
Development of core measure	Literature review, expert opinion and patient input (1)	Literature review, expert opinion and patient input (2)
Number of items in core measure	30 (EORTC QLQ-C30)	27 (FACT-G)
Response options	Likert scales (4 or 7 options)	Likert scale (5 options)
Recall period	Past week	Past 7 days
Item format	Questions	Statements
Item organisation	Items are not always grouped into scales and never explicitly so.  The five physical functioning items are grouped into a Guttman scale and recognisably measure the same construct.  Concludes with global health status / quality of item questions	Items are explicitly grouped into scales. Concludes with general quality of life item.
Domains in core measure		<ul> <li>Four wellbeing scales measuring:         <ul> <li>Physical wellbeing (PWB; 7 items)</li> <li>Social/family wellbeing (SWB; 7 items)</li> <li>Emotional wellbeing (EWB; 6 items)</li> <li>Functional wellbeing (FWB; 7 items, including global QOL question)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Overall FACT-G score (total of all 27 items)</li> </ul>
Time to administer	construct <sup>(3)</sup> . Items in SF assess impacts on social activities and familial min	y life while those in SWB focus on social support and relationships <sup>(4)</sup> .  5-10 min



A ducinistration	Self, interviewer, computer	Self, interviewer, computer	
Administration	<b>Note</b> : Health-related quality of life scores may tend to be higher when interviewer administered <sup>(5)</sup>		
Free access	<ul> <li>Questionnaires (for academic use)</li> <li>References</li> <li>Scoring manual (includes syntax and guidelines on interpretation)</li> <li>FAQs</li> <li>Details of EORTC QoL Group activities and opportunities for involvement</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Questionnaires</li><li>References</li><li>FAQs.</li></ul>	
Paid access	Questionnaires for use in commercial research	<ul> <li>Information on:         <ul> <li>Selecting an endpoint</li> </ul> </li> <li>Assessment timing</li> <li>Tracking/compliance</li> <li>Non-biasing interview technique</li> <li>Administration and scoring (including scoring options, raw score templates and SPSS/SAS syntax)</li> <li>Reliability and validity (reports, effect size calculations)</li> <li>Interpreting scores (minimally important differences, normative data, raw score versus T-scores)</li> </ul>	
Scoring of core measure	Scores for each of the QLQ-C30's 15 scales, which includes a global health status/quality of life score (mean of responses to items 29 and 30); Summary score calculated from mean of 13 of the 15 QLQ-C30 scales (excludes the global QoL scale and financial impact scale) (6), not in current version of manual but EORTC has published this on their website.	Scores for each of the FACT-G's 4 subscales; FACT-G score = sum of all 27 items	
Scoring of modules	Number of scales varies for each module. Scaling is separate to QLQ-C30 and cannot be combined	One score for each module (called 'additional concerns' [AC]); Trial outcome index (TOI) = PWB + FWB + AC; Overall score including module (e.g., FACT-B score) = FACT-G score + AC.	
Language versions	120 (as of Sep 2022)	74 (as of Sep 2022)	
Other illnesses?	No – Cancer specific	Yes - Measures/modules for use in conditions other than Cancer.	



		<ul> <li>Adapted versions of the FACT-G: Nonlife-threatening conditions; general population; HIV infection; multiple sclerosis.</li> <li>Satisfaction measures: Treatment satisfaction; satisfaction with pharmacist.</li> <li>Modules: Palliative care; spiritual well-being</li> </ul>
Other considerations	<ul> <li>More widely used (over 3,000 studies to date)</li> <li>Assesses cognitive domain and financial impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>More detailed assessment of social and emotional wellbeing</li> <li>More data available regarding meaningful differences in scores</li> <li>Appropriate for use in patients with a variety of chronic health conditions, and in the general population</li> </ul>
Utility measures	EORTC QLU-C10D <sup>(7)</sup>	• FACT-8D <sup>(7)</sup>

Note: Table adapted and updated from Luckett et al. (2011) and Sydney Quality of Life Office

**Abbreviations**: EORTC, European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer; QLQ-C30, Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30; FACIT, Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; FACT-G, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—General; QOL, quality of life

## References:

- 1. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, et al. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 1993;85(5):365-76.
- 2. Cella DF, Tulsky DS, Gray G, Sarafian B, Linn E, Bonomi A, et al. The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy scale: development and validation of the general measure. J Clin Oncol. 1993;11(3):570-9.
- 3. Luckett T, King MT, Butow PN, Oguchi M, Rankin N, Price MA, et al. Choosing between the EORTC QLQ-C30 and FACT-G for measuring health-related quality of life in cancer clinical research: issues, evidence and recommendations. Ann Oncol. Oct 2011;22(10):2179-90. Epub 2011/02/23.
- 4. Conroy T, Mercier M, Schraub S, Bonneterre J, Luporsi E, Lefebvre J-L, et al. Comparison of Three Quality-of-Life Instruments for Cancer Patients: Fact-G, Eortc QLQ-C30 and FLIC. Qual Life Res. 2000:274-.
- 5. Cheung YB, Goh C, Thumboo J, Khoo KS, Wee J. Quality of life scores differed according to mode of administration in a review of three major oncology questionnaires. J Clin Epidemiol. Feb 2006;59(2):185-91. Epub 2006/01/24.
- 6. Giesinger JM, Kieffer JM, Fayers PM, Groenvold M, Petersen MA, Scott NW, et al. Replication and validation of higher order models demonstrated that a summary score for the EORTC QLQ-C30 is robust. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016;69:79-88.
- 7. King M, Norman R, Viney R, Costa D, Brazier J, Cella D, et al. Two New Cancer-Specific Multi-Attribute Utility Instruments: EORTC QLU-C10D and FACT-8D. Value Health. 2016;19(7):A807.